Secure Software Design



il Campus per eccellenza

Why design matters for security

Mario Alviano

Exercise

We have to develop a booking system for a theater with 1000 seats grouped in 25 rows of 40 armchairs each. Every armchair is identified by a letter and a number. For a person, we are interested in fiscal code and name.

Think to how you usually approach to coding.

Can you implement such a system?

Security is often a design issue

- Any issue here?
- Can PIN be shielded?
- Can you guess the PIN?



Common scenario (1)

- You have to complete a software project
- You have a team of developers, testers and domain experts
- Important features identified with stakeholders
 - performance, security, maintainability, and usability
- Priority goes to business logic to reduce release time and costs
 - Users start to use whatever is released
- Security on a second phase
 - Nobody thanks for security because it is transparent
 - Anyhow you opt for using some security library

Common scenario (2)

The software is ready to be released (to go in production)

1) Time for a security check and penetration testing

- Many vulnerability are discovered
- The release is delayed
- Extreme case: fixing the vulnerabilities requires to completely rewrite the software
- 2) Skip any check, just go in production
- Users start to use your software
- You are on all newspaper because your software was hacked and sensible data were stolen
- Goodbye users (and welcome Europen Union lawyers)

A concern, not a feature

- Security is something that must make us anxious
- However, often it is described as a set of features
 - Home alarm: sensors, sirens, calls and SMS
 - Is it sufficient to "keep thieves out"?
 - How is the alarm activated and deactivated?
 - Do I always activate it before leaving home?
 - Do I leave a remote control somewhere were thieves can find it?
 - Is is easy to tamper sensors and sirens?

Security features and concerns (1)

- The problem with seeing security as features is that the focus is on "what the system does"
- Example, website with authentication to store pictures
 - "As a user, I want a login page to access my pictures."
- Is it sufficient to implement a login page?
 - This is the required feature
 - But the user has also a concern about security, which is not satisfied!



Security features and concerns (2)

- The login page is useless if pictures can be accessed via direct links
- We want to provide access to pictures only to the owner
- "As a user, I want a login page to access my pictures."
- "As a user, I want access to my uploaded pictures to pass through a login page so that my pictures stay confidential."
- "As a user, I want all access to my uploaded pictures to be protected by authentication so that my pictures stay confidential."

Security features and concerns (3)

- The user concern is the confidentiality of their pictures
- Protecting one path to the pictures is not sufficient (the login page)
- We have to protect **all paths** to the pictures

Security concerns: CIA-T

- Confidentiality
 - Keep things secret that shouldn't be made known to the public (eg. healthcare record)
- Integrity
 - The information doesn't change or is only allowed to change in specific, authorized ways (eg. counting election results)
- Availability
 - Ensure access to information when needed (eg. being able to place an offer in an online auction before it expires)
- Traceability
 - Know who changed or accessed data
 - Required by GDPR for sensible data

Traditional approach to SSD

Worklist Attack vectors Zero day exploits Web vulnerabilities OWASP

Apparently not sufficient!

Example. User with id and name

```
public class User {
  private final Long id;
  private final String username;
  public User(final Long id, final String username) {
     this.id = id;
     this.username = username; ①
  // ...
```

Using String is too permissive. Possible XSS vulnerability: my username is <script>alert(42);</script>

Example. Validation to avoid specific attacks

import static
com.example.xss.ValidationUtils.validateForXSS;
import static org.apache.commons.lang3.Validate.notNull;

```
public class User {
    private final Long id;
    private final String username;
```

public User(final Long id, final String username) {
 notNull(id); ①
 notNull(username); ①

```
this.id = notNull(id);
this.username = validateForXSS(username);
```

2

Issues

Not all developers are security experts

Focus is usally on business logic

In the future there will be new attacks

// ...

}

Example. Safe design

- What is a username for my application?
 - Contains only characters [A-Za-z0-9_-]
 - Contains at least 4 characters
 - Contains no more than 40 characters
- XXS is not possible anymore
 - Characters < and > are not allowed
 - We didn't think to XXS
 - We just modeled the domain

-]	A-A CA-A CA-A)
)

```
import static org.apache.commons.lang3.Validate.*;
```

```
public class User {
   private static final int USERNAME MINIMUM LENGTH = 4;
   private static final int USERNAME_MAXIMUM_LENGTH = 40;
   private static final String USERNAME_VALID_CHARACTERS =
         "[A-Za-z0-9_-]+";
   private final Long id;
   private final String username;
   public User(final Long id, final String username) {
      notNull(id);
      notBlank(username);
      final String trimmed = username.trim();
      inclusiveBetween(USERNAME_MINIMUM_LENGTH,
                       USERNAME MAXIMUM LENGTH,
                       trimmed.length());
                                             (1)
      matchesPattern(trimmed,
                     USERNAME_VALID_CHARACTERS,
                                                    1
                     "Allowed characters are: %s",
                                                      (1)
                     USERNAME_VALID_CHARACTERS);
      this.id = id;
     this.username = trimmed;
   // ...
```

Username is validated on creation

Is it the only point where the concept username is used?

Better to encapsulate all the knowledge about *username* in a *Username* class (we will call it a *domain primitive*) import static org.apache.commons.lang3.Validate.*;

```
public class Username { ①
   private static final int MINIMUM_LENGTH = 4;
   private static final int MAXIMUM_LENGTH = 40;
   private static final String VALID_CHARACTERS = "[A-Za-
z0-9 -]+";
   private final String value;
   public Username(final String value) {
      notBlank(value);
      final String trimmed = value.trim();
      inclusiveBetween(MINIMUM_LENGTH,
                       MAXIMUM_LENGTH,
                       trimmed.length());
      matchesPattern(trimmed,
                     VALID_CHARACTERS,
                     "Allowed characters are: %s",
VALID_CHARACTERS);
      this.value = trimmed;
                                public class User {
   }
                                   private final Long id;
                                   private final Username username;
                                                                        (2)
   public String value() {
      return value;
                                   public User(final Long id, final Username username) {
   }
                                      this.id = notNull(id);
```

Advantages of security by design

- Software is designed anyhow, so developers do not perceive extra work
- Business logic and security have the same priority
 - Differently, priority is on business logic
- Even non-experts developers write secure code
- Many security problems are implicitly fixed

Homework

- Write an application for the theater
- Prefer a terminal application, no need for a complex framework

Questions

